

**United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Criminal Investigation Division  
Investigative Activity Report**

**Case Number**

0506-0026

**Case Title:**

Ferguson Enterprises Inc.

**Reporting Office:**

Detroit, MI, Resident Office

**Subject of Report:**

Interview of (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Assistant Chief of Security, DWSD

**Activity Date:**

August 24, 2012

**Reporting Official and Date:**

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Acting Assistant Special Agent in Charge

27-AUG-2012, Signed by: (b)(6), (b)(7)

**Approving Official and Date:**

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Special Agent in Charge

28-AUG-2012, Approved by: (b)(6), (b)(7)  
Special Agent in Charge

**SYNOPSIS**

On August 24, 2012, U.S. EPA CID SA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Assistant Chief of Security, Detroit Water & Sewerage Department (DWSD). (b)(6), had been previously interviewed by SA P(b)(6), (b)(7) in this investigation. (b)(6), can be contacted at: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (office) or (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (work cell).

**DETAILS**

On August 24, 2012, U.S. EPA CID SA (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) contacted (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), Assistant Chief of Security, Detroit Water & Sewerage Department (DWSD). (b)(6), had been previously interviewed by SA (b)(6), (b)(7) in this investigation. (b)(6), can be contacted at: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (office) or (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) (work cell).

(b)(6), date of birth is (b)(6), (b)(7).

(b)(6), (b)(7) was the DA Central representative when the company was asked to conduct a sweep for electronic listening devices in then Director (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) office. (b)(6), recalled that DA Central performed this service two to three times over (b)(6), (b)(7) tenure. Each instance was done at (b)(6), (b)(7) request.

The sweeps were conducted after business hours or on the weekends, which was the suggestion of DA Central. (b)(6), commented that DA Central thought it would be better to do this when the employees were not around. DA Central representatives did not seem too surprised when (b)(6), requested this service.

(b)(6), confirmed that DA Central was paid from an existing DWSD contract thus city funds were used to pay for this service. No paperwork was needed to authorize this work, such as a change authorization, change order, or authority to proceed. (b)(6), had the authority to approve the payments for this service. (b)(6), confirmed that (b)(6) had received SA (b)(6), (b)(7) request for invoices and other related paperwork on this issue and was in the process of compiling the records.